

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/088,539	Applicant(s) KANKAANPAA, VEIKKO	
	Examiner José A. Fortuna	Art Unit 1731	

All Participants:

 (1) José A. Fortuna.

 (2) Alfred A. Fressola.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 8 January 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called applicant's attorney to propose the cancellation of the non-elected claims and to change the Title to conform the elected invention. Mr. Fressola agreed with the proposed changes, as shown in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)